

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,892	07/29/2003	Lingyu Zhu	10173-084-999	5561	
20583	7590 11/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JONES DA			MONDESI, ROBERT B		
222 EAST 4 NEW YORK	IST ST K, NY 10017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,		1653		
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/200	DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/629,892	ZHU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert B Mondesi	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Secondary</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practice	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 and 22-38 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-21 and 39-68 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d) .			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed September 09, 2004.

Claims 39-68 are new. Claims 1-68 are pending. Claims 1-15 and 22-38 are withdrawn. Claims 16-21 and 39-68 are under examination.

Withdrawal of Objections and Rejections

The rejection of claims 16-21 under 35 U.S.C § 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.

The rejection of **claims16-21** under 35 U.S.C § 101 as being drawn to non-statutory subject matter is withdrawn.

Maintenance of rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 17 and 54-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shackelford and Herbert.

This rejection was explained in the previous Office action.

Claims 54-56 are drawn to variations of the amounts of the composition of the claimed invention. The cited reference anticipates the composition of the invention regardless of the amount that is claimed, a larger or smaller amount of a known composition is still inherently the same composition and therefore in this case does not contribute to the novelty of the invention.

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 57-60 are merely variations of claim 17 stating the various degrees of LCAT activation activity. The applicants are reminded, as mentioned below; functional language in view of the composition of the present application has not been given patentable weight. Claims 65-68 are drawn to the various sources of the purified non-human animal ApoA-1 such as bovine, chicken, turkey and porcine and claims 61-64 are drawn to a nonhuman ApoA-1 protein having greater than about 70%-90% homology with native human Apo A-I protein. The examiner would like to point out that the applicants have gone on the record stating that ApoA-1 has been identified in a number of non-human animals such as cows, chicken and guinea pigs (specification page 6, lines 21-32) and that Apo A-I derived from non-human animal species are of similar size and share considerable homology, for example Bovine Apo-I is 77% identical to human Apo-I (specification, page 6, lines 31-32; specification page 7, lines 7-9) and hen Apo-I differs from human Apo-I only by the presence of isolucine (specification page 7, lines 19-21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 16, 18-20 and 39-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shackelford and Herbert in view of Dasseux et al. US patent 6,037,323.

This rejection was explained in the previous Office action.

The applicants are reminded as mentioned below; functional language in view of the composition of the present application has not been given patentable weight.

Claims 39-45 are merely variations of claim 16 stating the various degrees of LCAT

Art Unit: 1653

activation activity. **Claims 46-49** are drawn to the various sources of the purified non-human animal ApoA-1 such as bovine, chicken, turkey and porcine and **claim 50-53** is drawn to a nonhuman ApoA-1 protein having greater than 70%-90% homology with native human Apo A-I protein. The examiner would like to point out that the applicants have gone on the record stating that ApoA-1 has been identified in a number of non-human animals such as cows, chicken, hen and guinea pigs (specification page 6, lines 21-32) and that Apo A-I derived from non-human animal species are of similar size and share considerable homology to human Apo A-I, for example Bovine Apo-I is 77% identical to human Apo-I (specification, page 6, lines 31-32; specification page 7, lines 7-9) and hen Apo-I differs from human Apo-I only by the presence of isolucine (specification page 7, lines 19-21).

Response to applicant's arguments

Applicants assert that as amended claim 17 points out that the ApoA-I protein/lipid complex is capable of LCAT activation activity and cholesterol efflux and these features are highly relevant for medications used for the treatment of dyslipidemic disorders including cardiovascular disease.

In response to the applicants' assertions the examiner would like to state that the features of the composition that have been pointed out by the applicants fall under the category of functional language and are not given patentable weight. The applicants have not provided any new arguments as to how their composition is patentably distinct from the composition disclosed by Schackelford et al.

Art Unit: 1653

In regards to the rejection of **claims 16 and 18-20** under 35 U.S.C 103(a) applicants assert that the cited references provide no teachings or suggestions that the ApoA-1 molecule from chicken could be used for the treatment of cholesterol disease in a human. However, applicants admit on the record that the cited references disclose an Apo-A1 protein derived form a nonhuman animal that is associated with a lipid.

Applicants are reminded that the claims are drawn to a composition and not a method of treatment therefore the intended use of the composition of the present application is not given patentable weight. The MPEP 2112.01 clearly sates that, "Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. *In re Spada*, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Conclusion

No claims are allowed

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1653

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B Mondesi whose telephone number is 571-272-0956. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert B. Mondesi Patent Examiner Group 1653

11-23-09

ROBERT Á. WAX PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6